Modelling the influence of crowd control railing on the Kiss nightclub evacuation
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Abstract

Fires in crowded gathering places such as nightclubs generate imminent risks to people’s lives, as supported by historical facts. Building evacuation is defined as fundamental to prevent the occurrence of a tragedy. In the Kiss nightclub fire, many people could not reach the exit because of the controlling rails positioned in front of exit doors, as pointed-out by firefighters and survivors. This study aimed to evaluate the influence of these barriers in the escaping of Kiss nightclub, using computer-aided simulation. Four simulations were run, considering two types of capacity, each one with and without the rails. As preliminary analyses, the simulations presented a reduction of nearly 50% of the total time for the nightclub evacuation without the rails, confirming the barriers as a factor that considerably increased evacuation time of the nightclub occupants.

Introduction

Until the early 1970’s, fire safety in Brazilian buildings was not treated with the necessary relevance. There was not a well-developed fire safety regulation, especially regarding emergency exits.1 Thus, high buildings were built without concerns on how people would escape in the occurrence of a fire. The fires in Andraus and Joelma buildings marked a paradigmatic change, leading to the development and improvement of the current regulation, such as ABNT NBR 9077 – Emergency exits.2

Fires in crowded gathering places such as nightclubs generate imminent risks to people’s lives. Historical cases support this information, such as the fire at a nightclub in Buenos Aires and in Oakland, on 2004 and 2016, respectively. Both events support statistical data about the number of lives lost due to possible negligence towards the fire safety design. These facts emphasizes the importance of designing and constructing safe buildings, besides having them operating in such manner. In Brazil, the importance of fire safety has emerged after the Kiss nightclub fire, in the city of Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul state, which resulted in 242 deaths.

Similarities of Kiss nightclub fire to other disasters show up the importance to effective preventive measures, which can be accomplished through legislation and its application.3 Because of Kiss nightclub fire, a state law called Law Kiss was approved, implying changes in Brazilian fire safety regulation. However, Brazil has a big variety of fire safety regulations among all states, which could be improved by the creation of a national fire safety code.4

Escaping buildings safely is among the objectives required in a fire safety design, setting itself as a fundamental piece of the design.5 Brazilian standard, ABNT NBR 9077:2001,2 as well as the Technical Instruction Nº 11 of the São Paulo State Fire Department,6 present essential security conditions for passive protection, namely emergency exits. Both regulations present the procedure to calculate the maximum occupation, the maximum travel distance and the minimum width of escaping routes.

In addition to regulations, computer-aided analyses can be used to assess the efficiency of exit designs at an optimal manner, according to a preset architectonic conception. Despite being difficult to predict people’s behavior in emergency situations and, consequently, to evaluate the efficiency of emergency exits,7 the use of software tools that simulate escaping routes has become more frequent due to its closeness to reality.8

Studies have been developed based on continuous network models, such as Pathfinder. It uses a two-dimensional plane where occupants move through the building subjected to conditions like collision between occupants.9 Among evacuation studies using Pathfinder are a Subway station,10 a University library,11 a Road tunnel,12 and a Music festival,13 which is similar to a nightclub because of the gathering of very high number of people and the unfamiliar evacuation routes. Usually, default settings are used in evacuation models, but each simulation will have a user effect because of choices during the model calibration process.14

This study aimed to evaluate the influence of crowd controlling rails on the evacuation of Kiss nightclub using Pathfinder software. Several specialists gave their opinion on the influence of those barriers.

Building’s evacuation

Reaction to fire of building materials is characterized as one of the main causes of fire growth. The main factors that contribute to the outspread of panic and cause of deaths are the propagation of flames, release of smoke and toxic gases.16 In a fire, the smoke spread velocity can reach 2 m/s,17 which can be faster than the walking speed of people in a crowded space.9,18 Thus, controlling the fire reaction of coating materials
Materials and Methods

This study consists in four computer-assisted simulations of the Kiss nightclub evacuation. The first two simulated the nightclub under full capacity considering the dimensioning method required at the time of the disaster, as ABNT NBR 9077:2001 standard (691 people), with and without the metallic rails. The other two simulated the nightclub overcrowded (1061 people), with and without the metallic rails, considering that this was the maximum that the simulation could allocate within the area. Figure 2 presents an outline of simulations that were run.

**Kiss nightclub**

The nightclub was modelled in accordance with data found in journalistic articles and the technical report of CREA-RS, which may have been the main limiting factor of this study. The place had approximately 640 m² of area and was classified as a crowd gathering place, Division F-6 by the current regulation in Rio Grande do Sul state. The calculation of the nightclub’s maximum occupation capacity, considering the rooms for people accommodation and the support areas, resulted in 691 people. Thus, it would be required seven passage units, which were distributed in two doors placed side by side for the nightclub exiting.

The crowd control metallic rails behaved as obstruction elements towards the nightclub evacuation, as they reduced considerably the space available for escape. Therefore, these elements represented solid barriers in the simulations. Seeking a pattern, in both situations, the occupants were inserted by density within the spaces of the nightclub, without overlapping, i.e., the proportion of occupant in the nightclub room area were equal, only modifying the total number of occupants. The number of workers at the day of the incident is known and it was fixed for both simulations, as well as its distribution in the area of support. Figure 3 presents the modelling of the nightclub.

**Computer-assisted simulation**

The software used for modelling was Pathfinder, developed by Thunderhead Engineering, selecting the steering mode, which is an agent-based model. The steering mode uses the interaction between the environment and other occupants to move them, changing, for example, their path and velocity, which provides more realistic results.

The profile of the occupants was based on software’s default and adjusted to represent better the real people that were there. The parameters of exit choice were also modified after many simulations to have more realistic results, increasing the global travel time cost factor and decreasing the current door preference and current room distance penalty. The velocity and the shoulder width were used as default, but the comfort distance was reduced to 0.08m because of the emergency situation.

The pre-evacuation times were set following a uniform distribution between the nightclub rooms. It was considered that the
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people closer to the fire had shorter pre-evacuation times (10s), while those who were farther had longer pre-evacuation times (50s). These values were chosen from videos available on the Internet from the beginning of the fire and from survivor testimonies.

It should be noted that the software has limitations, like stuck occupants, who leave the area in a rational manner, without going over physical barriers, as occurs in real life.26 This limitation makes the evacuation slower, leading to conservative results.

Results and Discussion

The first result from the models created is the total evacuation time. Table 1 presents the escape time for the simulations that were run.

The influence of the rails could be noted, as it reduced total escape time by 49.98% for the nightclub with 691 people and 45.75% for the nightclub with 1061 people. This may have occurred due to the congestion of people as they crossed the small spaces between rails, as shown in Figure 4A, and because one of the rails were positioned in front of a door that led to the emergency exit of the nightclub. Figure 4B and C presents the density of the building after evacuation, emphasizing the disproportionality between escape routes with and without rails. This also confirmed the fact that the reduced width contributed to a more difficult passage of people, and that the emergency exit must have a continuous flow, with as little changes of direction as possible.

Journalistic articles and reports, as well as studies of the fire that took place in The Station Nightclub,27 in 2002, in the United States, indicates that the nightclub did not present survival conditions after 90 s due to the quick smoke propagation. According to the computer-assisted simulation, in the best evacuation scenario, namely when there were 691 people without the rails, the total escape time was estimated in 200s. Hence, victims would probably have been made, as there is a difference of 110s between the habitability of the nightclub and the evacuation from it. This confirms the importance of the correct application of coating materials as well as to increase the time available for evacuation.

Analyzing the evacuation from the dance floor struck by the fire, a reduction of 100s was observed from the escape, com-
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![Figure 4. (A) Congestion of people and representation of rails. (B) Density of use of the building after evacuation: 1061 people with rails. (C) Density of use of the building after evacuation: 1061 people without rails](image)
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paring 1061 people with and without rails. Moreover, Figure 7A shows that some people passed by this dance floor again after 350s (there is a peak right after this time), seeking a way out due to the wait time at the line formed between the rails of the lounge being long.

The simulation of 1061 people with rails also demonstrated that the dance floor 2 yielded the longest escape time. This dance floor was farther from the fire and the emergency exit, so it counted with longer pre-movement time. Besides, people escaped the building through the central lounge, an escape route with high occupation rates, which is unfavorable.

Figure 8 shows the longest nightclub evacuation times, represented by the dark red color. This fact confirms that emergency exits are needed on opposite facades of the building, as currently stated in the Technical Resolution Nº 11 – 2016 of the Fire
Department of Rio Grande do Sul state. It can provide better conditions toward quicker escape routes, and other alternative for people that have longer pre-movement time in other areas than the main access.

Conclusions

Modelling building evacuations is a powerful tool for analyzing and designing emergency exits, although it does not cover every influence factor of real enclosures. It should be carefully interpreted by professionals in the fire safety field. Each simulation will be different and have its own influence factors.

The simulations presented a reduction of nearly 50% of the total time for evacuating the Kiss nightclub without the rails. It confirmed that the design of emergency doors following regulatory prescriptions for a minimum width, clearance of escape routes to allow a continuous flow, and mainly the maximum capacity of the establishment, provide reasonable time for the occupants to exit safely, which was 200 seconds in this case. This period, however, could not be enough due to the use of inadequate coating material on the roof and the occupancy. Furthermore, the nightclub was overcrowded, and the escape route was obstructed by control railings, which led to a higher evacuation time. Therefore, a set of factors were responsible for this tragedy.

To have efficiency in the evacuation of all users, fire safety techniques must be applied systemically. Active and passive protection measures are complementary and act together to provide good levels of safety, resulting in the proper escape time. In other words, fire exits will be more effective if foreseen along with the fire alarm, the smoke control systems, the use of sprinklers and the control of coating material’s fire reaction.
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Figure 8. Display of the longest times for evacuation: 1061 people with rails.