### Proteasome inhibitors: bortezomib in multiple myeloma



Over the past decade, new insights into the biology of multiple myeloma (MM) have provided the framework for the development of novel therapies to overcome drug resistance. In particular, recognition of the pivotal role of bone marrow microenvironment in promoting myeloma cell growth, survival, drug resistance, and migration has allowed for identification of specific therapeutic strategies targeting myeloma-stromal cell interactions and cytokine secretion in the bone marrow milieu. The first-in-class proteasome inhibitor bortezomib is an excellent example of this novel class of agents that has quickly translated from the bench

### Preclinical studies with bortezomib in multiple myeloma

to the bedside.

Preclinical studies with bortezomib conducted on human myeloma cell lines and on freshly isolated cells from patients with MM showed that pharmacologically achievable doses of this agent directly inhibited the proliferation of human myeloma cell lines which were both sensitive and refractory to cytotoxic agents, and induced caspase-dependent apoptosis of myeloma cell lines and primary patient MM cells.<sup>1</sup> Bortezomib also inhibited NF-κB activation in tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$ -treated MM cells by blocking the degradation of the inhibitor protein I-κBα and overcame the resistance to apoptosis in MM cells conferred by IL-61.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, bortezomib inhibited binding of MM cells to bone marrow stromal cells and abrogated the NF-kB-dependent transcription and secretion of IL-6 in bone marrow stromal cells1. Inhibition of NF-KB activity by bortezomib markedly suppressed the in vitro growth of primary patient MM cells and of MM cell lines,<sup>1</sup> an effect furtherly enhanced by dexamethasone. In addition, bortezomib markedly improved the sensitivity to doxorubicin and melphalan in both drug-sensitive and resistant MM cell lines and of primary patient MM cells.<sup>2,3</sup>

### Registrative studies of singleagent bortezomib in advanced refractory and/or relapsed multiple myeloma

Results from preclinical studies and phase I clinical trials<sup>4</sup> showing the activity of bortezomib against MM prompted the initiation of phase II and III studies aimed at investigating the safety and activity of this agent in patients in whom prior treatments strategies, including stem-cell

M. Cavo M.C. Pallotti L. Pantani A. Petrucci A. Brioli C. Terragna N. Testoni G. Marzocchi S. Durante M. Ceccolini G. Perrone P. Tacchetti E. Zamagni P. Tosi M. Baccarani

Seràgnoli Institute of Hematology and Medical Oncology Bologna University School of Medicine Bologna, Italy transplantation, had failed.5-7 The phase II SUMMIT<sup>5</sup> and CREST<sup>6</sup> trials provided the first demonstration that bortezomib was an effective salvage therapy for approximately one third to one half of patients with refractory or relapsed MM. Based on these favorable results, bortezomib received accelerated approval by the FDA and the EMEA for clinical use in patients who have received at least two prior therapies and who have experienced progressive disease on their last therapy. Following these studies, the phase III APEX trial of single-agent bortezomib vs high-dose dexamethasone for relapsed MM7 was initiated. Results of this study showed the superiority of bortezomib over dexamethasone in terms of increased  $\geq$  partial remission (PR) rate (38%, including 6% complete remission [CR]), extended time to progression (TTP) (6.22 months), and longer duration of overall survival (OS) (1-year rate, 80%). The clinical benefits of single-agent bortezomib for the treatment of relapsed MM were recently confirmed with an extended follow-up of 22 months.8 In addition, a subgroup analysis provided demonstration that benefits from bortezomib were the greatest among patients who experienced first relapse.9 Among these patients, the overall probability of  $\geq$  PR was 45% with bortezomib compared with 26% with dexamethasone alone, median TTP was 7 months vs. 5.6 months, respectively, and 1year probability of OS was 89% vs. 72%, respectively. Based on these results, in 2005 the FDA and the EMEA approved an expanded indication for bortezomib use in MM patients who have received at least one prior line of therapy.

### Adverse events and toxicities

Toxicities attributable to bortezomib as single-agent therapy for patients with advanced relapsed and/or refractory MM are generally manageable and reversible. Most common side effects include gastrointestinal symptoms, fatigue, thrombocytopenia, sensory neuropathy, vomiting, and anorexia.<sup>5-7</sup> Several of these toxicities, such as diarrhea, peripheral neuropathy, and vomiting, were observed more frequently with the dose of 1.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> compared with 1.0 mg/m.2.6 Gastrointestinal symptoms were generally mild or moderate and could be managed with routine support. Thrombocytopenia was the most common severe adverse event, with an average occurrence in approximately 30% of patients; it occurred more frequently in patients with low platelet counts at baseline and was typically transient, with recovery of platelet counts toward baseline during the rest period of each cycle.<sup>10</sup> Clinically, cumulative peripheral neuropathy was the most important toxicity of bortezomib therapy for patients with advanced and refractory MM. Combined safety data from two phase II clinical trials reported a 35% frequency of treatment-emergent neuropathy (grade 1-2: 22%; grade 3-4: 13.4%), including a 37% value among patients receiving bortezomib 1.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> and 21% among those receiving bortezomib 1.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup> 11. The incidence of  $\geq$  grade 3 peripheral neuropathy, occurred more frequently in patients with neurological symptoms at baseline.5,7 Among patients requiring treatment discontinuation due to  $\geq$  grade 3 neuropathy, resolution to baseline or improvement occurred in 71% of cases.11 Thus, with early detection of peripheral neuropathy and the use of an algorithm for dose reductions or discontinuation, most patients can promptly improve or recovery from their neurological symptoms.

# Studies of bortezomib combined with other agents in advanced refractory/relapsed multiple myeloma

Different combinations of bortezomib with

dexamethasone and/or cytotoxic drugs and/or novel agents have been explored in an attempt to expand the therapeutic armamentarium for patients with advanced refractory and/or relapsed MM. The rationale for exploring the activity of these novel regimens relied upon preclinical data showing that a) bortezomib enhances the activity of both anthracyclines and alkylating agents, b) bortezomib is not cross-resistant with thalidomide, c) bortezomib and lenalidomide trigger dual apoptotic pathways, including caspase-8- and caspase-9mediated cell death. In the phase II SUMMIT and CREST studies, patients with suboptimal response to 2-4 courses of single-agent bortezomib were permitted to subsequently receive added dexamethasone (20 mg) on the day of and after each bortezomib dose.5,6 An improved response ( $\geq$  minimal response [MR]) was observed in 18%5 and 37%6 of patients, respectively, confirming the additive effect of these two agents previously found in preclinical studies. Additional phase I-II studies conducted in the same setting of patients showed encouraging results with 3- or 4-drug combinations, including bortezomib-cyclophosphamide-dexamethasone/prednisone,12,13 bortezomib-thalidomide-dexamethasone,<sup>14</sup> bortezomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone<sup>15</sup> and bortezomib-thalidomide-melphalan-prednisone.16 The DOXIL-MMY-3001 trial was a large phase III study aimed at prospectively comparing the combination of bortezomib with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin versus bortezomib alone in patients with primary refractory MM or who had relapsed after a single line of prior therapy.<sup>17</sup> Although not effecting superior rates of response, combination therapy significantly improved TTP (9.3 months median), progression-free survival (PFS) (9.0 months median) and the 15-month OS rate (76%) in comparison with bortezomib alone. Importantly, superior activity of combination therapy was maintained across all subgroup analyses, including those at high risk for disease progression.

### Studies of bortezomib up-front combined with other agents as induction therapy in younger MM patients eligible for autologous stem-cell transplantation

Following the remarkable success and tolerable toxicity profile of bortezomib in advanced refractory and/or relapsed MM, numerous clinical trials have been designed to explore the role of this agent, either alone or in combination with other drugs, in patients with newly diagnosed disease. In younger patients who are eligible for autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT), great efforts have been devoted to the development of bortezomib-based regimens aimed at enhancing the rate of CR, which has become a well established early end point surrogate for prolonged OS. In addition to response, important end points also included safety and toxicity profile, with particular considerations for peripheral blood stem-cell (PBSC) harvesting. In some phase II studies, the rate of CR increased from 10-12.5% with bortezomib alone or alternating bortezomib and dexamethasone18 to 21% (including also near CR) with combined bortezomib-dexamethasone.<sup>19</sup> The CR + near CR rate was furtherly enhanced, up to the 29% range, by the addition of a third drug, such as doxorubicin20 or lenalidomide.<sup>21</sup> Two large phase III trials performed in France and Italy evaluated the efficacy of bortezomib as part of induction therapy in preparation for subsequent ASCT.<sup>22,23</sup> In the IFM 2005/01 study, the combination of bortezomib plus dexamethasone (VD) was significantly superior to the standard VAD regimen22. Following induction, the CR + near CR rates were 19% with VD vs 8% with VAD (p=0.0004) and  $\geq$  very good partial response (VGPR) rates were 47% vs 19%, respectively (p<0.0001). Following the first ASCT, 35% of patients receiving VD were in CR + near CR vs 23% of patients in the VAD arm of the study (p=0.0056). The  $\geq$ VGPR rates were 63% vs 44% (p<0.0001), respectively, demonstrating the activity of the VD regimen. These results furtherly support the notion that in the era of novel agents VAD will no longer be preferred as induction therapy for patients who are eligible for ASCT. In another phase III study conducted by the Italian Myeloma Network GIMEMA,<sup>23</sup> the combination of bortezomib with thalidomide and dexamethasone (VTD) was compared with thalidomide plus dexamethasone (TD) which was previously demonstrated to be superior to VAD.24 Both VTD and TD were given before and after double ASCT. Overall, the VTD regimen resulted in high CR + near CR and  $\geq$ VGPR rates, both pre- and post-ASCT.<sup>23</sup> Following induction therapy, 60% of patients randomized to receive VTD achieved at least a VGPR compared with 27% of patients receiving TD (p < 0.001). The CR + nCR rate was 36% vs. 9%, respectively (p<0.001). Following the first ASCT, at least a VGPR was observed in 77% of patients in the VTD arm vs 54% of patients receiving TD (p=0.003), with a CR + nCR rate of 57% vs 28%, respectively (p < 0.001). In both studies, the use of bortezomib in preparation for ASCT did not adversely affect the efficiency of PBSC collection, with median CD 34<sup>+</sup> cell yields (x10<sup>6</sup>/kg) of 7.7 in the French study22 and of 9.2 in the Italian study.<sup>23</sup>

### Studies of bortezomib up-front combined with melphalan-prednisone for elderly multiple myeloma patients

Age remains a significant risk factor with conventional therapy for MM therapy. However, recent developments in the treatment of elderly patients have demonstrated that outcomes can be improved by combining novel agents with the traditional melphalanprednisone (MP) regimen. Three randomized studies compared MP with MP plus thalidomide (MPT),<sup>25-27</sup> but only in two of them there was a survival benefit from MPT.<sup>25,26</sup> The large VISTA trial of MP vs MP plus bortezomib (MPV) showed the significant superiority of MPV in terms of response and time-to-event end points, including response rate, CR rate, TTP, OS and time to next therapy.28 The high CR rate of 30% is unprecedented in this patient population. Although median OS was not reached, MPV resulted in a significantly higher 3-year OS rate (72%) in comparison with MP (59%), despite 45% of patients treated on MP received bortezomib following progression. Importantly, in the trial one third of patients were older than 75 years and, remarkably, efficacy in these patients was comparable to that seen in patients aged less than <75 years. Based on results of the VISTA trial, the FDA has recently approved the use of bortezomib for patients with previously untreated MM. Taken together, the results of the above mentioned studies indicate that MP should no longer be considered the standard treatment for elderly MM patients and, importantly, that in the era of novel agents age is no longer an adverse prognostic factor in the treatment of MM.

## Studies with bortezomib in high-risk multiple myeloma

Another important benefit from novel agents in the treatment of MM is in the area of highrisk disease. Clinical evidence is accumulating to suggest that bortezomib is able to overcome the poor prognosis associated with chromosomal abnormalities. Indeed, a number of studies in the up-front setting have shown that response rate, TTP and OS with bortezomib are not influenced by the presence of chromosome 13 deletion, translocation (t) t(4;14) and t(14;16), and chromosome 17p deletion.<sup>18,22,23,28</sup> In addition, other high-risk features, such as increased  $\beta$ 2 microglobulin or low albumin levels, do not negatively affect bortezomib efficacy.<sup>22,28</sup> Importantly, these benefits in patients with high-risk disease were seen in both young and elderly patients.

Renal impairment is another scenario that can be associated with complications and poor outcome. Bortezomib has demonstrated a clear benefit for these patients, since it results in response rates, TTP and OS that are comparable to those of patients with normal renal function. Importantly, bortezomib has also been shown to reverse renal failure in about 40% of patients.<sup>29</sup>

#### References

- 1. Hideshima T, Richardson P, Chauhan D, et al. The proteasome inhibitor PS-341 inhibits growth, induces apoptosis, and overcomes drug resistance in human multiple myeloma cells. Cancer Res 2001;61:3071-6.
- Mitsiades CS, Richardson PG, Poulaki V, et al. The proteasome inhibitor PS-341 potentiates sensitivity of multiple myeloma cells to conventional chemotherapeutic agents: therapeutic applications. Blood 2003;101:2377-80.
- Ma MH, Yang HH, Parker K, et al. The proteasome inhibitor PS-341 markedly enhances sensitivity of multiple myeloma tumor cells to chemotherapeutic agents. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9:1136-44.
- Orlowski RZ, Stinchcombe TE, Mitchell BS, et al. Phase I trial of the proteasome inhibitor PS-341 in patients with refractory hematologic malignancies. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 4420-7.
- Richardson PG, Singhal S, Jagannath S, et al. A phase 2 study of bortezomib in relapsed, refractory myeloma. N Engl J Med 2003;348:2609-17.
- 6. Jagannath S, Barlogie B, Berenson J, et al. A phase 2 study of two doses of bortezomib in relapsed or refractory myeloma. Br J Haematol 2004;127:165-72.
- Richardson PG, Sonneveld P, Schuster MW, et al. Bortezomib or high-dose dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2487-98.
- Richardson PG, Sonneveld P, Schuster M, et al. Extended follow-up of a phase 3 trial in relapsed multiple myeloma: final time-to-event results of the APEX trial. Blood 2007;110:3557-660.
- Sonneveld P, Richardson PG, Schuster MW, et al. Bortezomib is more effective than high-dose dexamethasone at first relapse and provides better outcomes when used early rather than as later salvage therapy in relapsed multiple myeloma. Haematologica 2005; 90 [suppl 2]: (abstract 0400).
- 10. Lonial S, Waller EK, Richardson PG, et al. Risk factors

and kinetics of thrombocytopenia associated with bortezomib for relapsed, refractory multiple myeloma. Blood 2005;106:3777-84.

- Richardson PG, Briemberg H, Jagannath S, et al. Frequency, characteristics, and reversibility of peripheral neuropathy duringtreatment of advanced multiple myeloma with bortezomib. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:13-3120.
- Kropff M, Bisping G, Schuck e, et al. Bortezomib in combination with intermediate-dose dexamethasone and continuous low-dose oral cyclophosphamide for relapsed multiple myeloma. Br J Haematol 2007;138:330-7.
- Reece D, Piza Rodriguez G, Chen C, et al. Phase I-II trial of bortezomib plus oral cyclophosphamide and prednisone in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol 2008 July 21 [Epub ahead of print].
- 14. Pineda-Roman M, Zangari M, van Rhee F, et al. VTD combination therapy with bortezomib-thalidomide-dexamethasone is highly effective in advanced and refractory multiple myeloma. Leukemia 2008;22:1419-27.
- Richardson PG, Jagannath S, Avigan DE, et al. Lenalidomide plus bortezomib (Vel-Len) in relapsed and/or refractory multiple myeloma (MM): final results of a multicenter phase 1 trial. Blood 2006; 108 (abstract 405).
- Palumbo A, Ambrosini MT, Benevolo G, et al. Bortezomib, melphalan, prednisone and thalidomide for relapsed multiple myeloma. Blood 2007;109:2767-72.
- Orlowski RZ, Nagler A, Sonneveld P, et al. Phase III study of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin plus bortezomib compared with bortezomib alone improves time to progression in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma: results from DOXIL-MMY-3001. J Clin Oncol 2007;25: 3892-901.
- Rosinol L, Oriol A, Mateos MV, et al. Phase II Pethema trial of alternating bortezomib and dexamethasone as induction regimen before autologous stem-cell transplantation in younger patients with multiple myeloma: efficacy and clinical implications of tumor response kinetics. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1-7.
- Harousseau JL, Attal M, Leleu X, et al. Bortezomib plus dexamethasone as induction treatment prior to autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: results of an IFM phase II study. Haematologica 2006;91:1498-505.
   Oakervee HE, Popat R, Curry N, et al. PAD combination
- Oakervee HE, Popat R, Curry N, et al. PAD combination therapy (PS-341/bortezomib, doxorubicin and dexamethasone) for previously untreated patients with multiple myeloma. Br J Haematol 2005;129:755-62.
- Richardson P, Jagannath S, Raje N, et al. Lenalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone (Rev/Vel/Dex) as frontline therapy for patients with multiple myeloma: preliminary results of a phase1/2 study. Blood 2007;110 (abstract 187).
- 22. Harousseau JL, Mathiot C, Attal M, et al. Velcade/dexamethasone (Vel/D) versus VAD as induction treatment prior to autologous stem cell transplantion (ASCT) in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (MM): updated results of the IFM 2005/01 trial. Blood 2007;110 (abstract 450).
- Cavo M, Patriarca F, Tacchetti P, et al. Bortezomib (Velcade)-Thalidomide-Dexamethasone (VTD) vs Thalidomide-Dexamethasone (TD) in preparation for autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (MM). Blood 2007;110 (abstract 73).
- 24. Cavo M, Zamagni E, Tosi P, et al. Superiority of thalidomide and dexamethasone over vincristine-doxorubicindexamethasone (VAD) as primary therapy in preparation for autologous transplantation for multiple myeloma. Blood 2005;106:35-9.
- 25. Facon T, Mary JY, Hulin C, et al. Melphalan and prednisone plus thalidomide versus melphalan and prednisone alone or reduced-intensity autologous stem cell

Hematology Meeting Reports 2008;2(5) | 131 |

transplantation in elderly patients with multiple myeloma (IFM 99-06): a randomised trial. Lancet 2007;370:1209-18.

- 26. Hulin C, Facon T, Rodon P, et al. Melphalan-prednisonethalidomide (MP-T) demonstrates a significant survival advantage in elderly patients ≥75 years with multiple myeloma compared with melphalan-prednisone (MP) in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, IFM 01/01. Blood 2007;110 (abstract 75).
  27. Palumbo A, Bringhen S, Liberati AM, et al. Oral melpha-
- lan, prednisone, and thalidomide in elderly patients with

multiple myeloma: updated results of a randomized, controlled trial. Blood 2008 May 27 [Epub ahead of print].

- 28. San Miguel JF, Schlag R, Khuageva NK, et al. Bortezomib plus melphalan and prednisone for initial treatment of multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med 2008;359: 06-917.
- 29. Roussou M, Kastritis E, Migkou M, et al. Treatment of patients with multiple myeloma complicated by renal failure with bortezomib-based regimens. Leuk Lymphoma 2008;49:890-5.