



Dermatology Reports

<https://www.pagepress.org/journals/index.php/dr/index>

eISSN 2036-7406



SIDCO

Società Italiana di Dermatologia
Chirurgica, Oncologica, Correttiva ed Estetica

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. **Dermatology Reports** is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear on a regular issue of the journal. E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

Please cite this article as: Li Pomi F, d'Aloja A, Di Tano A, Vaccaro M, Borgia F. Pediatric genital warts successfully treated with photodynamic therapy.

Dermatol Rep 2024 [Epub Ahead of Print] doi: 10.4081/dr.2024.9979

 © the Author(s), 2024
Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Submitted 29/02/24 - Accepted 24/08/24

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Pediatric genital warts successfully treated with photodynamic therapy

Federica Li Pomi,¹ Andrea d'Aloja,² Antonio Di Tano,² Mario Vaccaro,² Francesco Borgia²

¹Department of Precision Medicine in Medical, Surgical and Critical Care (Me.Pre.C.C.), University of Palermo, Palermo; ²Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Section of Dermatology, University of Messina, Messina, Italy.

Corresponding author: Francesco Borgia, PhD; Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Section of Dermatology, University of Messina, Messina, Italy;

Tel.:+390902213933

Email: fborgia@unime.it

Key words: genital warts; photodynamic therapy; human papillomavirus; HVP; child sexual abuse

Contributions: All authors had access to the data and a role in writing this manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Availability of data and materials: All data underlying the findings are fully available.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: No ethical committee approval was required for this case report by the Department, because this article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals. Informed consent was obtained from the patient included in this study.

Consent for publication: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate parents' consent forms. In the form, the parents have given their consent for their child's images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The parents understand that the child's name and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal the identity.

Abstract

Genital warts (GWs) are the most common sexually transmitted infections worldwide, caused by the human papillomavirus (HPV). In adults, the primary mode of transmission is through sexual contact, whereas in children it can occur through skin-to-skin or skin-to-mucosa contact and be sexual or non-sexual. The increasing prevalence of GWs in children has renewed the interest in therapeutic management which still presents a unique challenge, being influenced by many variables including size, quantity, and location of warts, as well as the presence of comorbidities. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) has already shown encouraging results in treating viral warts in adult patients, but its use is still not standardized in the pediatric population. On this topic, we report the case of an otherwise healthy 5-year-old child affected by GWs, successfully treated with three sessions of PDT with 10% 5-aminolaevulinic acid (ALA), at one-month intervals. Our case is paradigmatic of the potentiality of PDT to treat difficult lesions in a pediatric setting.

Introduction

Human papillomavirus (HPV) is considered the world's most common sexually transmitted disease. In adults, the primary mode of transmission is through sexual contact, whereas in children it can occur through skin-to-skin or skin-to-mucosa contact and be sexual or non-sexual.¹ Autoinoculation or etero-inoculation by parents or caregivers may account for horizontal transmission in female virgins or pediatric patients without a history of child sexual abuse (CSA).^{1,2} Another explanation for non-sexually transmitted genital warts (GWs) in children is vertical transmission: during natural birth or Cesarean section, the mother may directly transfer HPV to the newborn via contact with maternal genital mucosa or indirectly via contaminated objects or surfaces.³ Theoretically, also *in-utero* transmission may occur both hematogenously, by semen at fertilization, or as a maternal ascendant infection.⁴ Perinatal transmission combines vertical modes of transmission with innocent horizontal transmission in the newborn period (kissing, diaper changing, and contaminated fomites)¹. Treatment is often difficult, especially in the pediatric setting. Several variables, including wart size, quantity, location, as well as the presence of comorbidities, influence treatment choices. No first-line therapy has been described in the pediatric population. Treatment choice should take into account efficacy and tolerability equally.⁵ Duress or pain related to therapeutic procedures should not overcome advantages associated with the healing of the disease. The optimal approach for pediatric patients should be effective, painless, and easy to perform to ensure optimal compliance from both children and parents. Therapeutic options encompass medical (topical keratolytic, podophyllotoxin, imiquimod) and physical treatments (electrosurgery, cryotherapy, laser ablation, and surgery).⁵ However, these methods often present limitations such as local side effects (pain, scarring, hyper-/hypopigmentation), which adversely affect adherence to the treatment protocol. Additionally, many of them are effective only on visible lesions, not targeting subclinical ones, which increases the risk of recurrence. Furthermore, the risks of functional and psychological damage should not be underestimated in difficult-to-treat areas, including the genital one, especially in children.⁶ Photodynamic therapy (PDT) has demonstrated promising outcomes in treating viral warts in adults, achieving excellent aesthetic results with minimal side effects compared to other modalities.⁷ However, its use in the

pediatric population is still not standardized.

Case Report

On this topic, we report the case of an otherwise healthy 5-year-old boy referred to our attention by the pediatric surgeon with a 13-month history of slowly growing brownish verrucous plaque localized in the perianal region. The patient had been previously visited by many physicians (both pediatricians and dermatologists) with a diagnosis of GWs, already treated with several sessions of liquid nitrogen (cryotherapy), which was ineffective and poorly tolerated by the patient. At the time of our observation, asymptomatic brownish plaques with verrucous surfaces were visible in the perianal area (Figure 1A). The parents reported that the lesions made difficult and painful the defecation of the child. No erythema, lacerations, scars, or signs of sexual abuse in the above-mentioned area were detected. Sexually transmitted infection (STI) laboratory diagnostic testing for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* cultures and serologic tests for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), syphilis, hepatitis B, and cultures for other STIs were performed, with negative results. Maternal and paternal history of GWs or STIs were negative, while clinical examination did not reveal genital or non-genital warts. The same anamnestic and medical evaluation was conducted for the kindergarten teacher, who was, together with the parents, the only person to have routine contact with the child. As no physical and serologic abnormalities were detected in the child and given the possibility of non-sexual transmission of the GWs, the hypothesis of sexual abuse was ruled out. Due to the patient's poor compliance and the parent's unwillingness, surgical or physical treatments with laser abrasion were excluded. Off-label topical treatments with podophyllotoxin 0,5% solution and imiquimod 5% cream were not considered owing to their pro-inflammatory effects, especially contraindicated in pediatric patients. Furthermore, sinecatechins 15% ointment, an extract of green tea leaves containing a mixture of catechin compounds, approved for the treatment of external and perianal warts, is not indicated in pediatric populations. Therefore, we scheduled three sessions of PDT at one-month intervals. After acquiring informed consent from both parents, 10% 5-aminolaevulinic acid (ALA) (Biosynth AG, Staad, Switzerland) in polyethylene glycol ointment was applied in occlusion for three hours. Irradiation was applied with diode red light at 630 nm in wavelength (S630, Alpha Strumenti, Milan, Italy). The light source was positioned at 50 mm from the affected area, which gave a skin irradiance of about 160 mW/cm². The light exposure period was 8 min, resulting in a total light dose of 75 J/cm². The procedure was quite tolerated by the patient, who complained of a moderate burning sensation during the light exposure. The patient was treated at home with an emollient cream and non-alcoholic disinfection solution. After the third session, clinical examination revealed a significant reduction of the verrucous plaque (Figure 1B). At three-month follow-up, almost complete clearance was achieved, with the persistence of only a few isolated papular elements (Figure 1C). At six months follow-up, complete healing was observed, with no sign of relapse. Subsequent follow-ups were scheduled at six months.

Discussion

PDT is a non-invasive therapeutic procedure that exploits the interaction between a photosensitizing agent, light exposure, and molecular oxygen to induce localized and selective cytotoxicity. Photosensitizers

commonly used in dermatology are the prodrug ALA or its methylated ester (MAL), which are converted by the haem biosynthetic pathway to protoporphyrin IX (PpIX). After an incubation period, subsequent activation by light of an appropriate wavelength produces reactive oxygen species (ROS), destroying target cells and leading to an immune-inflammatory response.⁸ Beyond its established applications in non-melanoma skin cancer, PDT has gained a level of evidence I and strength of recommendation B in an expanding range of inflammatory and infectious diseases, including HPV-related lesions, with excellent tissue selectivity and cosmetic outcomes.^{7,8} PDT exerts antimicrobial effects on viruses, bacteria, fungi, and parasites, inducing rapid activation of specific immunity in the affected skin. HPV-infected cells, being highly proliferative and selectively producing more PpIX than surrounding non-infected cells, make ideal targets for PDT. In fact, PDT induces warts regression by producing ROS which cause the apoptosis of infected keratinocytes. Simultaneously, PDT stimulates immune-specific responses, leading to the release of various cytokines (interleukin-1 β , interleukin-2, tumor necrosis factor- α)⁹. Notably, interferon- α levels strongly correlate with PDT efficacy and clinical outcomes.⁹ Antimicrobial PDT has been demonstrated to be effective not only on visible lesions but also on non-clinically visible ones, since it selectively targets HPV-infected keratinocytes, thus reducing the viral load and, consequently, the recurrence rate at follow-ups.^{10,11} Our therapeutic schedule was drawn both from literature data and our prior experience treating viral warts, including genital ones, and other infectious diseases in children.^{6,12-16} While various therapeutic modalities exist for GWs management, no single treatment has proven definitively effective. Medical therapies with topical podophyllotoxin, sinecatechins or imiquimod often result in intense inflammatory reactions, leading to poor patient compliance. On the other hand, physical treatments are frequently painful and require prolonged daily regimens, with a high risk of superinfections in a humid environment like a genital one. Recently, several reports have highlighted recalcitrant warts regression after the HPV 9-valent vaccine, which has been demonstrated to induce higher antibody titers than those produced by natural infection.¹⁷ Based on these data, we recommended vaccination to our patient at the age of 9 years.

Conclusion

The remarkable outcome in our patient underscores PDT's effectiveness in the pediatric population, particularly for extremely delicate anatomical localization like the perianal one, where invasive treatments may lead to permanent anal stenosis and difficulty in defecation. PDT emerges as an intriguing strategy with a low recurrence rate, capable of treating HPV-infected cells in subclinical lesions.¹⁸ Major limits are the potential onset of local side effects, such as pain and the long incubation time, that can bother young patients.¹⁹ Nevertheless, they appear mild when compared to the other available therapeutic alternatives, making PDT a valuable option.²⁰

References

1. Petca A, Borislavski A, Zvanca M, et al. Non-sexual HPV transmission and role of vaccination for a better future (Review). *Exp Ther Med*. 2020;20:1-1.
2. Jayasinghe Y. Genital warts in children: what do they mean? *Arch Dis Child*. 2006;91:696-700.
3. Khayargoli P, Niyibizi J, Mayrand MH, et al. Human Papillomavirus Transmission and Persistence in Pregnant Women and Neonates. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2023;177:684.
4. Syrjanen S, Puranen M. Human Papillomavirus Infections in Children: the Potential Role of Maternal Transmission. *Critical Reviews in Oral Biology & Medicine*. 2000;11:259-274.
5. Di Bartolomeo L, Altavilla D, Vaccaro M, et al. Photodynamic therapy in pediatric age: Current applications and future trends. *Front Pharmacol*. 2022;13.
6. Li Pomi F, Macca L, Peterle L, et al. Photodynamic therapy for intergluteal warts in a child affected by Rett syndrome. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther*. 2023;42:103620.
7. Shen S, Feng J, Song X, et al. Efficacy of photodynamic therapy for warts induced by human papilloma virus infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther*. 2022;39:102913.
8. Morton CA, Szeimies R -M., Basset-Séguin N, et al. European Dermatology Forum guidelines on topical photodynamic therapy 2019 Part 2: emerging indications – field cancerization, photorejuvenation and inflammatory/infective dermatoses. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*. 2020;34:17-29.
9. Xie F, Yu HS, Wang R, et al. Photodynamic Therapy for Genital Warts Causes Activation of Local Immunity. *J Cutan Med Surg*. 2019;23:370-379.
10. Wang XL, Wang HW, Wang HS, et al. Topical 5-aminolaevulinic acid-photodynamic therapy for the treatment of urethral condylomata acuminata. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2004;151:880-885.
11. Giomi B, Pagnini F, Cappuccini A, et al. Immunological activity of photodynamic therapy for genital warts. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2011;164:448-451.
12. Macca L, Li Pomi F, Custurone P, et al. Photodynamic therapy for pediatric genital warts: A case report. *Dermatol Ther*. 2022;35.
13. Borgia F, Giuffrida R, Coppola M, et al. Successful photodynamic therapy in a pediatric patient with difficult warts. *Dermatol Ther*. 2020;33.
14. Borgia F, Giuffrida R, Coppola M, et al. Efficacy and safety of conventional versus daylight photodynamic therapy in children affected by multiple facial flat warts. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther*. 2020;31:101819.
15. Borgia F, Coppola M, Giuffrida R, et al. Excellent cosmetic result of daylight photodynamic therapy for facial flat warts in a child. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther*. 2019;26:27-28.
16. Li Pomi F, Peterle L, Vaccaro M, et al. Daylight photodynamic therapy for cutaneous leishmaniasis in a pediatric setting: A case report and literature review. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther*. 2023;44:103800.
17. Shin JO, Son JH, Lee J, et al. Nonavalent human papilloma virus vaccine for the treatment of multiple recalcitrant warts: An open-label study. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2022;86:940-941.
18. Stringasci MD, Buzzá HH, de Arruda SS, et al. HPV condylomatosis region treated with multiple sessions of MAL-PDT: A case report. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther*. 2020;31:101812.
19. Borgia F, Giuffrida R, Caradonna E, et al. Early and Late Onset Side Effects of Photodynamic Therapy. *Biomedicines*. 2018;6:12.
20. Prayogo SA, Andrew H, Cong S, et al. Photodynamic therapy in the treatment of condyloma acuminata: A systematic review of clinical trials. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2023;34:76-86.



Figure 1. (A) verrucous plaque in the perianal region before PDT; (B) reduction of the verrucous plaque after three sessions of ALA-PDT at one-month intervals; (C) almost complete resolution at three-month follow-up after the third session of ALA-PDT, with the persistence of only few isolated papular elements.